1 2 3 4 5	Gretchen M. Nelson, SBN 112566 gnelson@nflawfirm.com Gabriel S. Barenfeld, SBN 224146 gbarenfeld@nflawfirm.com NELSON & FRAENKEL LLP 601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 2050 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone No.: (844) 622-6469	
6 7	Facsimile No.: (213) 622-6019	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8   9	[Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs Listed on Signature Page]	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13   14   15   16   17   18   19   220   221	JASIBEL CANCHOLA, CARLOS OCHOA, RICHARD CURTIS, and ROBERT SOUZA, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs.  ALLSTATE INSURACE COMPANY, an Illinois corporation, Defendant.	Case No. 8:23-cv-00734-FWS-ADS  FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT  PLAINTIFFS' CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF:  1. Labor Code Section 2802 - Failure To Reimburse For Necessary Expenditures Incurred
22		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23		
24   25		
25 26		
20   27		
28		

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 2 I. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION ...... 3 PARTIES......5 II. 4 III. 5 IV. BACKGROUND......6 6 California law prevents employers from passing the expense of running A. 7 their businesses to their workers. 8 Allstate exclusive agents operate Allstate's business for Allstate's В. 9 10 Allstate owns the Allstate agencies, including the book of i. 11 business, that the exclusive agents work in and pay all the 12 expenses for. .....9 13 ii. Allstate exclusive agents are economically dependent on Allstate. 14 15 Other facts showing that Allstate treats exclusive agents in iii. 16 California as employees for purposes of section 2802......13 17 C. Examples of the categories expenses Allstate requires the exclusive 18 19 V. 20 VI. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Plaintiffs Jasibel Canchola, Carlos Ochoa, Richard Curtis, and Robert Souza,

counsel:

# I. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this removed action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).

individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, allege as follows upon

personal knowledge and upon information and belief based upon the investigation of

- 2. Defendant Allstate Insurance Company ("Allstate") and its affiliated insurance companies sell insurance in California. Allstate relies on an integrated distribution system of insurance agents working in Allstate agencies, the internet, and call centers to sell insurance in California. This allows customers to interact with Allstate when, where, and how they want: via the internet, insurance agents, call centers or a mix of all three.
- 3. Allstate classifies these insurance agents as independent contractors under California law and requires them to bear all or nearly all expenses to sell Allstate insurance and service Allstate's customers. At the same time, however, Allstate binds the agents to its distribution strategy by requiring them to sell exclusively for Allstate and calls them "exclusive agents."
- 4. This exclusive agent relationship gives rise to a danger of Allstate abusing the independent contractor designation. Allstate can structure the relationship so that the exclusive agents are, essentially, at-will sales employees who work in Allstate-owned agencies yet shift the expenses of running Allstate's agencies onto the exclusive agents under the guise of an independent contractor relationship.
- 5. That abuse materialized here. Plaintiffs and putative class members are former and current Allstate exclusive agents whom Allstate promised to treat as independent contractors who would "own their own insurance agency" and could build equity in "their agency." All exclusive agents, Plaintiffs included, relied on

5 6

7

8 9

11 12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Allstate's promise to make significant financial investments, including paying for office space and hiring employees along with other costs.

- Reality proved to be different. Although Allstate labeled the exclusive 6. agents as independent contractors, it structured the relationship so that the exclusive agents do not own or operate a business independent of Allstate's integrated distribution network. Exclusive agents pay the expenses of an agency over which Allstate owns and retains all necessary control. Allstate owns the book of business, the customers, and all the other valuable information necessary to run the business even the phone numbers the exclusive agents use to do business.
- Allstate also retained the right to terminate any exclusive agent "at will," and thus, for any reason or no reason at all. If Allstate or the agent terminates the exclusive agent relationship, Allstate keeps the agency, and the exclusive agent loses his or her investment, including all business expenses. Allstate further requires that the exclusive agents agree to noncompete provisions to restrict their ability to work in the business of selling insurance if they leave or are terminated.
- 8. Other factors further demonstrate the exclusive agents' lack of economic independence and Allstate's ultimate control over its business, including the agents' opportunity for profit or loss.
- 9. Allstate controls what products the exclusive agents can sell, the terms, and the price. For example, to further its business interests, Allstate recently stopped exclusive agents from selling homeowners, condo, and exclusive lines, thus severely impairing the agents' ability to compete in the market for new business or from earning any commissions on these lines of business.
- 10. Allstate alone decides what to pay the exclusive agents and can change the agents' compensation at any time or for any reason. The agents have no right or ability to negotiate for their compensation and the compensation is untethered to the work performed. For example, for no other reason other than to further its own

business interests and profits, Allstate recently cut the commissions it pays to exclusive agents on auto policies by 84%.

- 11. The exclusive agents bear the financial brunt of Allstate's business decisions. The expenses Allstate expected the exclusive agents to bear to run the Allstate agencies did not change, with the result that Allstate slashing commissions and restricting what products exclusive agents could sell either severely reduced the agents' income or resulted in the agents taking losses based on Allstate's business needs. When exclusive agents tried to compensate for these cuts and restrictions by selling more of the products Allstate allowed them to sell, Allstate warned them that they were selling too much.
- 12. Allstate tells agents that they can sell their agency, but that is also false. An exclusive agent has no ownership rights to sell, and no sale of an Allstate agency ever occurs. Instead, Allstate decides whether to allow a third party to pay the exclusive agent to step into his or her role of servicing Allstate's book of business for a commission, and Allstate controls this process from start to finish. An agent does not have as an alternative keeping his/her book of business if he/she chooses to leave Allstate. The book of business belongs to Allstate and stays at Allstate if an agent leaves or is terminated.
- 13. Allstate also controls the exclusive agents' online presence as part of its integrated distribution system. Exclusive agents must maintain an Allstate website that allows existing or potential customers to communicate either with the agent or directly with Allstate, including by obtaining online quotes for policies directly from Allstate or its affiliates.
- 14. Put otherwise, Plaintiffs and other exclusive agents work continuously and regularly for Allstate as part of Allstate's integrated distribution system in Allstate-branded agencies that Allstate owns to further Allstate's core business of selling insurance; they rely on their earnings from Allstate to support themselves and their families; they can be terminated at will by Allstate; they lose any investment

they made by paying expenses for the Allstate agency they worked in upon termination; and their total pay is substantially influenced by Allstate who controls what products they sell, on what terms, in what amounts, and for what level of compensation.

- 15. Plaintiffs' experience reflects this reality. After Allstate restricted the products they could sell and slashed compensation, both Plaintiffs' jobs became economically unfeasible and they lost everything. Both Plaintiffs also have unpaid debts because of the unreimbursed expenses they incurred working for Allstate, such as the lease for an Allstate-branded agency. Upon information and belief, other exclusive agents who would lose everything if they left, simply hope that Allstate reverses its austerity measures before their financial situation becomes untenable.
- 16. In no meaningful way, therefore, is an Allstate exclusive agent an independent contractor delivering services as part of an independent business for his or her own account. Instead, an exclusive agent is just an Allstate salesperson no different than any other sales employee paid on a commission basis with one key exception: the exclusive agents, not Allstate, bears all the expense doing Allstate's business.
- 17. But California Labor Code section 2802 prevents employers, like Allstate, from protecting their bottom lines and shifting the cost and risk of their business onto their workers by passing business expenses to their employees.
- 18. Because in no sense do Plaintiffs or putative class members run independent businesses for their own accounts, they are employees for purposes of section 2802 and are owed reimbursement from Defendant for the expenses Allstate required and expected them to incur to build and maintain Allstate's business.
- 19. Plaintiffs, like all putative class members, wanted to be treated as independent contractors but were not. California Labor Code section 2802 requires Defendant to reimburse Plaintiff and class members for the business expenses they incurred during the relevant time period. Additionally, through this lawsuit, Plaintiffs

seek to end Defendant's unlawful and unfair business activities and have Defendant treat the putative class consistent with their independent contractor designation under California law, and obtain all other relief Plaintiffs and the putative class are entitled to.

# II. PARTIES

- 20. Defendant Allstate Insurance Company ("Allstate") is an insurance company organized under the laws of the State of Illinois having both its statutory home office and main administrative office located in Northbrook, Illinois. Allstate and its affiliated group of insurance companies and non-insurance companies engage in the business of selling property, casualty, and life insurance throughout the State of California.
- 21. In this Complaint, Plaintiffs refer to Allstate as "Allstate Defendant" or "Defendant."
- 22. At all relevant times, the Defendant was engaged in selling insurance in California, including in this County.
- 23. Plaintiff Jasibel Canchola is a citizen of the State of California who was an exclusive agent for Allstate from June 1, 2022 until February 4, 2023 and worked at an Allstate' agency located at 4945 Yorba Ranch Road, Yorba Linda, CA 92887.
- 24. Plaintiff Carlos Ochoa is a citizen of the State of California who was an exclusive agent for Allstate from July 1, 2022 until January 3, 2023 and worked at an Allstate' agency located at 610 E Francis Street, Ontario, CA 91761.
- 25. Plaintiff Richard Curtis is a citizen of the State of California who was an employee agent for Allstate prior to the late 1990s, and then converted to an exclusive agent for Allstate from the late 1990s until his termination on June 30, 2021. Mr. Curtis worked at an Allstate agency located at 870 Hampshire Rd, Westlake Village, CA 91361.
- 26. Plaintiff Robert Souza is a citizen of the State of Nevada who was an exclusive agent for Allstate from approximately 1996 until August, 2023. Mr. Souza

worked at an Allstate agency located at 10646 Zelzah Ave Ste 216 Granada Hills, CA 91344.

27. In this Complaint, Plaintiffs refer to "exclusive agents" or "agents" to mean themselves and the class of putative class members in the State of California.

## III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 28. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all Defendant as it does substantial business in the State of California and in this County. Allstate is licensed to sell insurance and does sell insurance in the State of California and this County.
- 29. This Court has jurisdiction over this removed action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 30. Venue is proper under Code of Civil Procedure, sections 395, subd. (a), because the defendant does not reside in this State and Plaintiff Jasibel Canchola resides in this district.

## IV. BACKGROUND

- A. California law prevents employers from passing the expense of running their businesses to their workers.
- 31. Labor Code section 2802 represents protective social welfare legislation that prevents employers from passing the expenses of their businesses to their workers.
- 32. Specifically, Labor Code section 2802 states that "an employer shall indemnify his or her employees for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer."
- 33. The test for employee status under section 2802 is governed by *S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 341. (Lab. Code, § 2783, subd. (a).)
- 34. The California Supreme Court has emphasized that *Borello* applies a statutory purpose test in order to determine which classification (employee or

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 independent contractor) best effectuates the underlying legislative intent and objective of the statutory scheme at issue. (Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court (2018) 4 Cal.5th 903, 934.)

- Borello's emphasis on statutory purpose is broader than and sets it apart 35. from the traditional common-law agency test for employee status that gives considerable weight to an employer's right to control the manner and means by which the product is accomplished. (*Dynamex*, *supra*, 4 Cal.5th at p. 935.)
  - Allstate exclusive agents operate Allstate's business for Allstate's В. account and not for their own accounts.
- Allstate and its affiliated companies sell property, casualty, and life 36. insurance in California.
  - 37. Allstate's core business is selling insurance.
- Key factors that determine Allstate's success in selling its insurance 38. products are its product offerings, brand recognition, financial strength, and price. The exclusive agents control none of these factors.
- Allstate runs a profitable business selling insurance and paid over \$11.8 39. billion in dividends over the past three years alone—\$4.1 billion in 2022, \$3.6 billion in 2021, and \$4.1 billion in 2020—that ultimately benefit its parent, The Allstate Corporation, a publicly traded company. The Allstate Corporation is a holding company with no significant business operations of its own, and it relies on dividends from Allstate as one of the principal sources of cash to pay shareholder dividends and to meet its obligations.
- 40. Allstate relies on an integrated distribution system of the internet, call centers, and exclusive agents in Allstate-branded agencies, to sell its insurance products and service customers in California. This integrated distribution system furthers Allstate's core strategy of allowing customers to interact with Allstate when, where, and how they want.

> 5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

- Allstate calls its insurance agents in California "exclusive agents" to 41. differentiate them from independent insurance agents.
- 42. There is no dispute in the insurance industry that independent insurance agents are independent contractors. They own their agencies, including their agency's books of business. They sell for multiple competing companies and select the company to place their clients with based on their clients' needs and the amount of commission. If the independent agent terminates his or her relationship with a company, the agent retains the book of business and the relationship with the policyholders, including all the policyholders' information, and can place that client with a different company. They operate their own business for their own accounts.
- Independent insurance agents also work in offices branded with their 43. individual company name, not the names of the companies they sell insurance for, and they generally are not integrated into an insurance company's distribution system.
- 44. Insurance companies also generally do not maintain any management structure to manage or otherwise supervise the independent agents they work with. Instead, the companies work to develop relationships with their independent agents to encourage them to sell their products instead of their competitors' products.
- 45. Allstate does not on information and belief hire established independent agents who own their own books of business to work as exclusive agents in California.
- 46. Instead, Allstate generally recruits people having no prior experience running an agency or selling insurance to work as an Allstate exclusive agent.
- 47. Potential Allstate exclusive agents are people looking for jobs who apply to be an Allstate exclusive agent. They then undergo an interview and evaluation process. Allstate advertises the job of Allstate exclusive agent as a career position on its website along with a link where job seekers can fill out an application. A license to

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 sell insurance is not a prerequisite to be an Allstate exclusive agent, and Allstate permits the exclusive agent's licensure after Allstate decides to hire him or her.

- All new hires sign a form Allstate exclusive agent agreement with 48. Allstate and its affiliated companies to sell Allstate insurance products in California. Allstate drafts the form exclusive agent agreement and the terms are non-negotiable, including the classification of the exclusive agent as an independent contractor.
- 49. Allstate uses these form exclusive agent agreements, and other policies and practices commonly applicable to all exclusive agents, to impose an uniform structure on the Allstate-exclusive agent relationship that does not vary by agent.
  - Allstate owns the Allstate agencies, including the book of business, that the exclusive agents work in and pay all the expenses for.
- Allstate advertises its "Allstate exclusive agent" position as an 50. opportunity to "own your agency" and that agents, by paying the agency expenses, will "earn equity in the business you build as an Allstate agency owner." (See e.g., https://www.allstatecorporation.com/careers.aspx and https://www.allstate.com/lp/allstateagent/index.htm (last accessed, 3/6/2023).) These statements are false and misleading. Allstate exclusive agents do not own a business independent of Allstate's business.
- 51. Allstate owns the expirations and all policyholder information of the Allstate agency the exclusive agent works in —i.e., the agency's "book of business" and only income producing asset.
- 52. Expirations are an insurance agency's records about policyholders and the agency's most valuable asset to carrying on the business of insurance. Plaintiffs and all other exclusive agents in the putative class have no ownership rights in the expirations or any other aspect of the book of business of the Allstate agency they work in. The book of business and all other policyholder information are Allstate's wholly owned property that Allstate considers to be its exclusive and confidential

property. Indeed, Allstate maintains that it would suffer irreparable damage if a former exclusive agent kept its book of business after termination.

- 53. Allstate considers the customers of the Allstate agency the exclusive agent works in to be Allstate's customers, not the exclusive agents' customers. Allstate also requires all exclusive agents to agree to non-compete clauses where, upon termination, the exclusive agent agrees to not contact Allstate policyholders or to locate an office within one-mile of the Allstate office they worked in.
- 54. Allstate requires the exclusive agents to pay the expenses to maintain the Allstate agency and grow Allstate's business.
- 55. Allstate will not allow an Allstate exclusive agent to also own an independent insurance agency because Allstate considers that both a breach of the Exclusive Agency Agreement and a conflict of interest. Allstate also retains the right to restrict the exclusive agents from selling insurance of any kind for any other company.
- 56. If the exclusive agent has an opportunity to sell a line of insurance Allstate does not offer, Allstate requires them to place the business through Ivantage, a brokerage owned by an Allstate affiliate who takes a commission on the business, thus allowing its affiliates, and ultimately Allstate's parent company, to profit from the sale.
- 57. All telephone numbers the Allstate exclusive agents use to do business, and that the exclusive agents pay the expense for, are also property of Allstate that the agent must surrender to Allstate upon demand after termination.
- 58. Allstate tells Allstate exclusive agents that "you can sell the business when you retire." That is false. An Allstate exclusive agent has no right to sell the Allstate agency they work in to anyone because Allstate owns the agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.allstatecorporation.com/careers.aspx

3

7 8

6

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

- Instead, Allstate allows its exclusive agents to have a third party pay 59. them step into their role working in Allstate's agency. Allstate, not the exclusive agents, controls this process from start to finish. Allstate recruits persons to take over existing Allstate books of business and has absolute discretion to deny a transfer for any reason or no reason.
- Allstate also reserves the right to terminate an exclusive agent with or 60. without cause on ninety days notice. Upon Allstate giving notice, the exclusive agent must immediately cease all work as an Allstate agent.
- Upon an exclusive agent's termination, the Allstate agency, including 61. the book of business the agent paid all the expenses to build and maintain, remains with Allstate and the exclusive agent keeps nothing.
- 62. Because Allstate has integrated the exclusive agents into its distribution businesses, Allstate's investment in its Allstate agencies' business to grow that business dwarfs the investment by any exclusive agent. By way of example, Allstate spends tens of millions of dollars yearly to create a branded business in which agents perform one part of the sales function, including (i) advertising on television, the internet, and the radio; (ii) maintaining a website that includes an "agent finder" tool to allow people to find Allstate agents close to their home; (iii) maintaining an app that allows policyholders to communicate directly with their Allstate agent; and (iv) maintaining Allstate-branded agent websites that allow potential customers to obtain online quotes directly from Allstate or to communicate with the agent or Allstate. Allstate also has employees whose job is to "drive product strategy across Allstate digital assets," including the agent sites.
  - Allstate exclusive agents are economically dependent on Allstate. ii.
- Allstate tells both aspiring and current exclusive agents that they enjoy 63. unlimited earning potential and their success depends on their entrepreneurial skills.

> 5 6

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

- The Allstate exclusive agents are economically dependent, however, 64. upon Allstate and their opportunity for profit or loss ultimately hinges on Allstate's decisions about its business needs.
- Allstate expects its exclusive agents to sell exclusively for Allstate and 65. to devote all the time they spend selling insurance to selling Allstate's products and servicing Allstate customers.
- Allstate pays its exclusive agents a mix of commissions and bonuses. 66. Allstate sets the exclusive agents' compensation and can lower it at any time, without any negotiation or input from the agents.
- 67. Recently, Allstate cut the commissions it would pay its exclusive agents by 84% for all standard auto, non-standard auto, and non-specialty auto. Previously, the rate was 25% (comprised of a 9% base commission plus 16% variable compensation). Allstate eliminated the variable compensation and set the commission rate at 4%.
- Allstate also restricted sales of its homeowners, condo, and commercial 68. lines, which not only eliminated those income sources for exclusive agents but also interferes with the ability for Allstate exclusive agents to bundle for products for customers or earning additional bonuses for bundling those products.
- 69. Allstate further implemented policies to either slow or even discourage California consumers from either purchasing Allstate insurance products or renewing existing Allstate insurance coverages. For example, Allstate required policyholders to pay at least 50% of premiums for both new and renewal auto policies. Allstate also announced that it was reducing its advertising spending to reduce sales.
- 70. The Allstate exclusive agents had no input into Allstate's decision to cut their commissions and had no option but to accept them. Likewise, the Allstate exclusive agents had no input into any other policies Allstate implemented to slow or discourage sales and had no option but to accept them.

9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

Allstate's commission cuts and other policies have had and continue to 71. have a severe negative economic impact on all Allstate exclusive agents through no fault of their own. The cuts are tethered only to Allstate's financial goals rather than any fundamental change in the job Allstate hires the agents to do. Yet Allstate's ownership and control over the relationship means that Allstate's unilateral actions have in one fell swoop rendered many of the agencies its exclusive agents work in and depend on for their income either materially less profitable or unprofitable.

# Other facts showing that Allstate treats exclusive agents in California as employees for purposes of section 2802.

- 72. Allstate exclusive agents simply have a job running Allstate's agencies to sell Allstate policies and service Allstate policyholders "credited" to their account as part of Allstate's integrated distribution system.
- 73. Allstate advertises the job of exclusive agent as a career position at Allstate. There is no term and an exclusive agent can spend their careers working for Allstate to sell Allstate products and service Allstate customers.
- Allstate integrates the exclusive agents into Allstate's integrated sales 74. distribution system. The exclusive agents sit at the bottom of Allstate's top-down hierarchy of managers whose full-time job is to supervise the exclusive agents, including sales activities, to drive production and meet Allstate's sales goals. Each exclusive agent reports to a Sales Market Leader, who reports to another Allstate manager, all of whom are employees and all of whom are part of a hierarchy of Allstate managers who ultimately report to an officer of Allstate.
- 75. This hierarchy reflects how the exclusive agents are not working in businesses separate from Allstate. Rather, exclusive agents work with other Allstate employees to form one part of Allstate's integrated sales distribution system.
- 76. Allstate has the right to determine the location of the Allstate agency and has the right to approve or veto the exclusive agent's proposed location for the

8

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

26

25

27 28 Allstate office. Allstate also retains the right to determine whether an exclusive agent can open an office at a second location.

- 77. Allstate monitors the size of its Allstate agencies and can decide whether it wants larger or smaller agencies. In recent years, Allstate decided that it was better for business to have fewer but larger agencies, and it built larger agencies by merging books of business from terminated exclusive agents and having its current exclusive agents run these larger agencies.
- 78. Allstate controls whether an agent can share an office with another Allstate agent, and it can veto an exclusive agent's decision to reduce costs by sharing offices.
- Allstate expects the undivided full-time service of each exclusive agent 79. to selling Allstate products and servicing Allstate customers.
- 80. While agents do not work in Allstate corporate headquarters, Allstate requires exclusive agents to work in a Allstate-branded agency that must have a standard and uniform look. Allstate retains control over the appearance of the agency to ensure the Allstate brand is prominently displayed, both exterior and interior, and that the Allstate agency meets whatever criteria Allstate sets for professional appearance.
- 81. Allstate has the right to control the hours that the Allstate agency is open for business. For example, the Allstate currently requires that the exclusive agents keep the Allstate agencies open for no less than 45 hours each week.
- 82. Allstate has the right to physically inspect any Allstate agency to ensure compliance with its standards. Allstate also has the right to require exclusive agents to meet with its representatives at Allstate's request to discuss any business topics.
- Allstate controls the exclusive agents' online presence. Allstate requires 83. the exclusive agents to do business using an approved Allstate-branded email address and an Allstate-branded and controlled agency website. Allstate monitors the agents'

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 email and website presence, and Allstate retains the exclusive agent's website, email, and email address when the agent terminates.

- Allstate expects the exclusive agents to hire employees to work in the 84. Allstate agency. While Allstate refuses to pay for the expense of those employees, it requires those employees to sign non-competes that restrict the employee's ability to work or to compete against Allstate after termination.
- 85. Allstate has the right to determine who can work in the Allstate agency. For example, an exclusive agent may want to hire a licensed person as an employee in the Allstate agency, but Allstate can veto that hire by refusing to appoint them.
- 86. Allstate has created a job position for workers in Allstate agencies called Licensed Sales Professional. Allstate recruits Licensed Sales Professionals on its website, where it maintains a link for them to apply for that position as a staff member for an Allstate exclusive agent.
- Allstate trains the exclusive agents on how to do their jobs, including on 87. how to run an Allstate insurance agency, sell insurance, and service Allstate customers. Training is not limited to teaching agents about Allstate products or regulatory compliance issues.
  - 88. Allstate can, and does, monitor and drive agent activities.
- 89. Allstate also has the right to require agents to do activities without compensation.
- 90. Allstate can require exclusive agents, particularly new agents, to submit business plans to Allstate's managers stating the various sales activities the agent intends to pursue.
- 91. Allstate managers also have yearly meetings with the exclusive agents where they lay out written sales plans that Allstate expect the agents to follow and then monitors the exclusive agent's progress.
- The Allstate Defendant also monitors the exclusive agents' sales 92. activities, not just production (premiums).

- 93. Allstate's rights over the exclusive agent and the Allstate agency remain the same as those listed above regardless of whether the agent incorporates. If the exclusive agent incorporates, Allstate has developed a "C version" of the Exclusive Agent Agreement that is not materially different than the "S version" signed exclusive agents who operate as sole proprietorships. In both versions, Allstate contracts with the key person—the exclusive agent— and "C version" is only with the exclusive agent's company in name. The material terms of the two agreements are also identical in all respects, with the only difference being that Allstate asserts control over material aspects of the incorporated entity to cement its control.
  - C. Examples of the categories of expenses Allstate requires the exclusive agents to bear to do Allstate's business.
- 94. Defendant requires their exclusive agents to pay, without reimbursement, various categories expenses necessary to operate the Allstate's agencies. These expenses include, among other, the following:
  - a. The expense of the office space for the agency, such as the lease. An exclusive agent cannot work from a home office.
  - b. The expense of branding the interior and exterior of the Allstate agency.
  - c. The expense of licensed and appointed staff that Allstate expects to work in the Allstate agency. Indeed, upon information and belief, Allstate maintains criteria for the number of staff that it expects to work in an Allstate agency based on the number of Allstate policies in force.
  - d. The expenses of the errors and omissions policy Allstate required exclusive agents to carry.
  - e. The expense for phones to do Allstate business, including Allstate Agency Voice, a centralized telephone system that Allstate requires exclusive agents to use.
  - f. The expense for internet access that Allstate requires all Allstate agencies must have to do business.

- g. The expense of Allstate approved computers with Allstate software that Allstate requires to be used in the Allstate agencies.
- h. The expense of advertising promoting Allstate's products and brand.

  Allstate requires agents to sell exclusively for Allstate, and Allstate must approve all advertising using its brand or name, but Allstate does not reimburse agents for this advertising.

# V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 95. <u>Class Definition</u>: Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and the following Classes pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23: All individuals who signed an Allstate Exclusive Agency Agreement and who worked as an Allstate exclusive agent in the State of California.
- 96. The Class Period is the three years immediately preceding the filing of the Complaint until such time as notice is mailed to the Class. Excluded from the Class are any judge, justice or judicial officer presiding over this matter and members of their immediate families and judicial staff.
- 97. <u>Numerosity/Ascertainability</u>: The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and not practicable. Plaintiff estimates that there are more than 700 individuals in the Class. The identity of Class members is readily ascertainable from Defendant's records.
- 98. <u>Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate/Well Defined</u>

  <u>Community of Interest</u>: There are common questions of law and fact which predominate over questions affecting only individual members including, without limitation to:
  - a. Whether Defendant violated Labor Code section 2802 by failing to reimburse Plaintiffs and the Class for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by them in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties; and

6

7

5

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

- b. Whether Defendant treated Plaintiffs and Class members as employees under Labor Code section 2802 and not independent contractors.
- Predominance of Common Questions: The common questions of law set 99. forth above are substantial and stem from Defendant's policies and/or practices applicable to each individual Class member. As such, these common questions establishing Defendant's liability under the statute predominate over individual questions concerning each individual Class member's amount of his or her damages.
- <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Class because, as alleged herein, Plaintiffs, like the members of the Classes, were employees for purposes of Labor Code section 2802 and not reimbursed for all necessary work expenditures Defendant required them to incur.
- Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiffs are fully prepared to take all necessary steps to represent fairly and adequately the interests of the members of the Class. Moreover, Plaintiffs' attorneys are experienced in prosecuting class actions and employee misclassification cases and are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the members of the Class.
- Superiority: The California Labor Code is broadly remedial in nature 102. and serves an important public interest in establishing minimum working conditions and standards in California. These laws and labor standards protect the average working employee from exploitation by employers who have the responsibility to follow the laws and who may seek to take advantage of superior economic and bargaining power in setting onerous terms and conditions of employment. The nature of this action and the format of laws available to Plaintiffs and members of the Class make the class action format a particularly efficient and appropriate procedure to redress the violations alleged herein. If each employee/exclusive agent were required to file an individual lawsuit, Defendant would necessarily gain an unconscionable advantage since it would be able to exploit and overwhelm the limited resources of each individual plaintiff with its vastly superior financial and legal resources.

Moreover, requiring each member of the Class to pursue an individual remedy would also discourage the assertion of lawful claims by employees, many of whom who would be disinclined to file an action against their former and/or current employer for real and justifiable fear of retaliation and permanent damages to their careers at subsequent employment. Further, the prosecution of separate actions by the individual Class members, even if possible, would create a substantial risk of inconsistent or varying verdicts or adjudications with respect to the individual Class members against Defendant herein; would establish potentially incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant; and/or create inconsistent legal determinations with respect to individual Class members which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interest of the other Class members or which would substantially impair or impede the ability of the Class members to protect their interests. Further, the claims of the individual members of the Class are not sufficiently large to warrant vigorous individual prosecution considering all of the concomitant costs and expenses attendant thereto.

103. As such, the Class is maintainable under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.

# VI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

# **COUNT ONE**

# FOR VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE SECTION 2802

- 104. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference each of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 105. At all relevant times herein, Defendant was subject to Labor Code section 2802, which requires employers to reimburse the expenses incurred by their employees. At all relevant times herein, Defendant was also subject to Labor Code section 2804, which provides that a claim under section 2802 may not be waived.
- 106. As a proximate result of Defendant's policies and/or practices alleged above, Defendant violated Labor Code section 2802 by not reimbursing Plaintiffs and

members of the Class for expenses they incurred to run the Allstate agencies they worked and were damaged in amounts to be shown according to proof.

107. Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs of suit pursuant to Labor Code section 2802(c) and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for bringing this action plus pre-judgment interest accruing from the date on which Plaintiffs and the Class members incurred the necessary expenditure through to the date of payment. (Labor Code, § 2802(b).)

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief on behalf of themselves and the Class against the Defendant:

- 1. Certification of this action as a class action and appointment of Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel to represent the Class;
- 2. A declaratory judgment that Defendant violated Labor Code section 2802 by treating Plaintiffs and Class members as employees but failing to indemnify Plaintiffs and Class members for necessary business expenditures;
- 3. Indemnification of the Plaintiffs' and Class Members' unreimbursed business expenses;
- 4. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Labor Code section 2802, and/or other applicable law;
  - 5. Costs of suit herein;
- 6. Pre-judgment interest pursuant to Labor Code section 2802 and post judgment interest; and
  - 7. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

#### **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all claims.

Dated: November 22, 2023 **CRUEGER DICKINSON LLC** 1 2 By:/s/Benjamin A. Kaplan Charles J. Crueger, Esq. (PHV) 3 Erin K. Dickinson, Esq. (PHV) 4 Benjamin A. Kaplan, Esq. (PHV) 5 4532 North Oakland Avenue Whitefish Bay, WI 53211 6 Tel.: (414) 210-3868 7 Email: cjc@cruegerdickinson.com Email: ekd@cruegerdickinson.com 8 Email: bak@cruegerdickinson.com 9 10 **NELSON & FRAENKEL LLP** Gretchen M. Nelson, SBN 112566 11 gnelson@nflawfirm.com 12 Gabriel S. Barenfeld, SBN 224146 gbarenfeld@nflawfirm.com 13 601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 2050 14 Los Angeles, CA. 90017 15 Telephone No.: (844) 622-6469 Facsimile No.: (213) 622-6019 16 17 WALLACE MILLER Edward A. Wallace (PHV) 18 Mark R. Miller (PHV) 19 150 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60606 20 Tel.: (312) 626-9760 21 Email: eaw@wallacemiller.com Email: mrm@wallacemiller.com 22 23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 24 25 26 27 28